



## Factors Affecting Early Reproduction Traits of Frieswal Heifers Under Field Conditions

Laishram Sunitibala Devi<sup>1\*</sup>, D.V. Singh<sup>2</sup>, Sandeep Kour<sup>3</sup> and Himani Tewari<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Livestock Production & Management Section, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Division of Livestock Production Management, GBPUA&T, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, INDIA

<sup>3</sup>Division of Livestock Production Management, GADVASU, Ludhiana, Punjab, INDIA

<sup>4</sup>Division of Livestock Production Management, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, INDIA

\*Corresponding author: LS Devi; Email: thoibi.suniti@gmail.com

Received: 09 October, 2016

Revised: 29 March, 2017

Accepted: 08 April, 2017

### ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out in U. S. Nagar district of Uttarakhand on Frieswal heifers reared by farmers under 5 AI centres, and aimed to study early reproduction traits of Frieswal heifers and factors affecting them. The study revealed that the Least-squares means for age at puberty, age at first artificial insemination and age at first conception were  $485.2 \pm 21.8$  (n=131),  $608.3 \pm 27.1$  (n=81) and  $610.2 \pm 25.2$  (n=77) days. Average age at first calving was  $807.0 \pm 28.1$  (n=18) days. The non-genetic factors like education of farmers, herd size, season, year, and artificial insemination centre had significant effect on reproductive performance traits. Significant effects of artificial insemination centre, year of birth, education level, herd size and season of birth on age at puberty; of artificial insemination centre on age at first artificial insemination; of year of birth on age at first artificial insemination; of artificial insemination centre on age at first conception were recorded.

**Keywords:** Age at puberty, age at first AI, Age at first conception, Frieswal heifers, non-genetic factors

Indian economy is predominantly rural economy with about 75% of its population residing in rural areas. Dairy farming is an integral system on which the entire social and economic structure of the village life anchors in India. It provides opportunity for self-employment to a substantial number of rural population, many of whom are women which play a major role in the care and management of livestock (Islam *et al.*, 2008). Livestock contributes in improving the nutritional standards by providing valuable animal protein in the form of meat, milk, egg and dairy products and by-products. Reproductive efficiency in dairy cattle is decreasing worldwide. Shifting towards more productive cows and larger herds is associated with a decrease in reproductive efficiency. Cows with the greatest milk production potential have the highest incidence of infertility (Lucy, 2001). Low reproductive efficiency due to either delayed first service, missed estrus, or multiple services per conception continues to be a major problem

in dairy herds (Hammoudh *et al.*, 2010). Insufficient reproductive performance results in excessively late age at first calving and long lactations.

Early reproduction helps in achieving more number of calving per lactations in the entire life of a cow. Crossbred cattle are known for early sexual maturity in comparison to indigenous cattle and buffaloes. Crossbred cattle are known for early sexual maturity in comparison to indigenous cattle and buffaloes. This fact is not much documented with respect to the crossbred cattle reared under field conditions. The information pertaining to early reproduction traits of crossbred heifers under field condition is scanty in literature. Age at puberty is a critical trait, because success of pregnancy during the breeding season is correlated with the percentage of heifers that reach puberty before or early in the breeding season (Perry and Cushman, 2013).

Crossbred cattle are reared in good number in Uttarakhand and knowledge of reproductive traits is very important in understanding their production performance. Hence, present study was undertaken with the farmers in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand under field progeny testing programme with the objectives to study early reproduction traits in Frieswal heifers under field conditions and factors affecting them.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Present study is based on the data obtained from 131 animals, reared by 127 farmers of 5 AI centres viz. Pantnagar, Gadarpur, Bazpur, Kicha and Sitarganj under progeny testing programme of Frieswal cattle in Udham Singh Nagar district, Uttarakhand. The place is located in the foot hills of Himalayas at 28° 52' to 28° 25' North latitudes, 78° 58' to 79° 42' East longitude and altitude of 243.84 m above mean sea level. In the present study the experimental work were conducted on Frieswal heifers. Data were collected from the farmers by using questionnaire. The following 4 reproductive traits were analyzed: age at puberty (It is the length of time between the date of birth and the date of showing first heat in heifers), age at first artificial insemination (It is the period between date of birth and date of first AI), age at first conception (It is the period between date of birth and date of successful AI) and age at first calving (It is the age at which the heifer calved). Non genetic factors considered here include artificial insemination (AI) centre, season (spring, summer, rainy, winter), year (2010-2012), education level of farmers (Illiterate, Read and write, Matriculate, > Matriculate), herd size (3 animal unit equivalent AUE, 3-6 AUE, 6-10 AUE, >10 AUE). Units for different category of animals (cattle) are as follows:- Adult - 0.800, Immature (heifer)-0.600 and Young (calf) - 0.260 (GOI, Animal Husbandry Statistics, 2011).

The data on reproduction traits of heifers were subjected to least-squares analysis of variance as per Harvey (1987) using PC version.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Age at puberty (AP)

The overall least-squares means for AP of 131 heifers

was  $485.2 \pm 21.8$  days in Frieswal (Table 1). The present value is lower than those reported by Nahar *et al.* (1992) in Holstein  $\times$  deshi under field conditions, Rafique (2000) in HF  $\times$  Sahiwal under farm conditions, Qureshi *et al.* (2000) in crossbreds, Sultana *et al.* (2001) and Uddin *et al.* (2008) in Friesian cross under field conditions. However, Miazzi *et al.* (2007) reported higher values for AP in local cattle ( $25.92 \pm 1.08$  months) and in crosses of local cattle with Sahiwal ( $18.00 \pm 0.00$  months), Holstein-Friesian ( $21.60 \pm 2.40$  months) and Jersey ( $20.44 \pm 1.60$  months), respectively. Singh *et al.* (2002) also reported that AP was  $35.6 \pm 0.53$  months in case of Deoni cattle under field conditions which are higher than the present value. This indicated that crossbred cattle had shorter AP than those of local or indigenous cattle.

Among AI centre, age at puberty ranged from  $378.1 \pm 39.2$  to  $572.2 \pm 22.3$  days, being significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) lower in Pantnagar centre. These might be due to its close proximity to the University and farmers got immediate help whenever they are in need regarding management of animals. The highest value for AP was  $572.2 \pm 22.3$  days which were recorded from Sitarganj centre which is most distant from Pantnagar.

Among education level AP ranged from  $448.0 \pm 27.1$  to  $509.6 \pm 26.5$  days being significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower in illiterate and matriculate farmers and highest among farmers which are able to read and write and those of education level more than matriculate. These variations in the values for AP might be because of different management practices followed by different farmers. However, higher AP among higher education level of farmers may be because of their diversion towards some other work than the rearing of heifers.

Among herd size AP ranged from  $415.2 \pm 30.7$  to  $524.1 \pm 40.9$  days being significantly lower in case of farmers with 6-10 AUE and higher among farmers with more than 10 AUE. Higher AP in case of farmers with larger herd size may be because of difficulty in proper management regarding feeding and also regarding proper detection of heat at proper time.

Year of birth (YOB) was found to have significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect on AP with lowest value of  $285.8 \pm 51.3$  days in the year 2012 and highest value of  $620.2 \pm 24.5$  days in the year 2010. Bakir and Cilek (2009) reported that effects of calving year and calving season on age at first calving was

statistically significant ( $P < 0.01$ ).

Among season of birth (SOB) AP ranged from  $416.6 \pm 40.0$  to  $518.4 \pm 24.5$  days being significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower in rainy season and highest during spring season. However, Hawk *et al.* (1954) and Schillo *et al.* (1992) reported that heifers born during the spring and autumn were found to reach puberty at earlier age than those born during the other seasons of the year.

**Table 1:** Least-squares means for age at puberty (AP) in Frieswal heifers

Effects	Particulars	Code	Obs.	AP (days)
AI Centre	Pantnagar	1	17	$378.1 \pm 39.2^A$
	Gadarpur	2	41	$444.3 \pm 26.6^A$
	Bazpur	3	29	$539.5 \pm 27.7^B$
	Kicha	4	11	$491.8 \pm 35.0^B$
	Sitarganj	5	33	$572.2 \pm 22.3^B$
Education	Illiterate	1	34	$448.0 \pm 27.1^a$
	Read and write	2	35	$509.6 \pm 26.5^b$
	Matriculate	3	23	$478.5 \pm 27.7^a$
	>Matriculate	4	39	$504.5 \pm 24.4^b$
	Up to 3 AUE	1	66	$507.9 \pm 25.3^a$
Herd size	3 to 6 AUE	2	44	$493.5 \pm 23.1^a$
	6 to 10 AUE	3	14	$415.2 \pm 30.7^b$
	>10 AUE	4	7	$524.1 \pm 40.9^a$
		2010	48	$620.2 \pm 24.5^A$
Year of birth		2011	79	$549.5 \pm 16.5^B$
		2012	4	$285.8 \pm 51.3^C$
	Spring	1	27	$518.4 \pm 24.5^a$
Season of birth	Summer	2	26	$495.0 \pm 27.3^a$
	Rainy	3	11	$416.6 \pm 40.0^b$
	Winter	4	67	$510.8 \pm 23.3^a$
Overall			131	$485.2 \pm 21.8$
CV (%)				15.15

CV: Coefficient of variance

Least-squares means followed by same or no upper case letters as superscripts do not differ significantly (a, b;  $P < 0.05$ ), (A, B, C;  $P < 0.01$ ).

### Age at first AI (AFAI)

The overall least-squares means for AFAI of 81 heifers was  $608.3 \pm 27.1$  days (Table 2). The present value is lower than those reported by Madhuri *et al.* (2009) in three-breed crosses of HF, Jersey and Haryana breeds of

cattle and Singh *et al.* (2011) in Vrindavani. Age at first service reported by Madhuri *et al.* (2009) and Singh *et al.* (2011) were  $772.07 \pm 25.12$  and  $746.28 \pm 8.94$  days. Sarder (2006) reported higher values for AFAI of 29.4 months in different genetic groups of cattle under field conditions. Similarly, Dinka (2012) also reported higher values for AFAI of  $24.9 \pm 3.8$  months in crossbred dairy cows under farm conditions. Bakir and Cilek (2009) reported that AFAI was  $523.90 \pm 6.71$  days in Holstein cattle in farm conditions which were lower than the present findings. Similarly, Moges (2012) also reported lower AFAI of  $15.4 \pm 5.1$  months in Holstein  $\times$  zebu cattle in farm conditions.

**Table 2:** Least-squares means for age at first AI (AFAI) in Frieswal heifers

Effects	Particulars	Code	Obs.	AFAI (days)
AI Centre	Pantnagar	1	17	$499.9 \pm 46.1^A$
	Gadarpur	2	29	$548.0 \pm 32.8^B$
	Bazpur	3	14	$655.6 \pm 38.3^C$
	Kicha	4	8	$642.8 \pm 43.6^C$
	Sitarganj	5	13	$695.3 \pm 36.4^C$
Education	Illiterate	1	22	$574.5 \pm 35.7$
	Read and write	2	22	$634.7 \pm 34.1$
	Matriculate	3	16	$604.9 \pm 36.5$
	>Matriculate	4	21	$619.3 \pm 29.0$
	Up to 3 AUE	1	43	$588.6 \pm 25.8$
Herd size	3 to 6 AUE	2	24	$610.7 \pm 27.0$
	6 to 10 AUE	3	11	$570.6 \pm 34.1$
	>10 AUE	4	3	$663.4 \pm 77.1$
	Spring	1	19	$636.6 \pm 32.9$
Season of birth	Summer	2	9	$653.0 \pm 43.6$
	Rainy	3	6	$508.3 \pm 58.6$
	Winter	4	47	$635.4 \pm 24.7$
		2010	36	$644.5 \pm 35.9^a$
Year of birth		2011	45	$572.1 \pm 27.0^b$
	Overall		81	$608.3 \pm 27.1$
CV (%)				15.79

CV: Coefficient of variance.

Least-squares means followed by same or no upper case letters as superscripts do not differ Significantly (a, b;  $P < 0.05$ ), (A, B, C;  $P < 0.01$ )

The effect of AI centre was found highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for AFAI. Among AI centre, AFAI ranged from  $499.9 \pm 46.1$  to  $695.3 \pm 36.4$  days, being significantly

lower in Pantnagar and highest in Sitarganj centre. The reason behind early AFAI in Pantnagar centre may be because of proper management of heifers by farmers regarding feeding and detection of estrus. Any delay regarding detection of estrus lead to delay in AI which which may affect the reproductive efficiency of heifers. Fricke (2004) reported that age at first breeding coupled with reproductive efficiency at first subsequent breeding determines the heifer's age at first calving.

The effect of year of birth (YOB) was found significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) for AFAI. Khan *et al.* (1992) reported significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect of YOB on AFAI in case of Sahiwal cattle. Aral (2005) reported significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) effect of year on AFAI in Brown Swiss heifers. Similarly, Bakir and Cilek (2009) also reported significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect of calving year on age at first breeding in Holstein cattle. Among year of birth, AFAI was lowest in year 2011 ( $572.1 \pm 27.0$  days) and highest in year 2010 ( $644.5 \pm 35.9$  days).

#### Age at first conception (ACON)

The overall least-squares means for ACON of 77 heifers was  $610.2 \pm 25.2$  days (Table 3). The present value is lower than those reported by Rafique *et al.* (2000) in different crosses of Holstein-Friesian  $\times$  Sahiwal, Sattar *et al.* (2005) and Sandhu *et al.* (2011) in Holstein-Friesian under farm conditions. The average values for ACON reported by Rafique *et al.* (2000), Sattar *et al.* (2005) and Sandhu *et al.* (2011) were  $679.5 \pm 8.03$ ,  $714.74 \pm 9.72$  and  $655.10 \pm 10.44$  days, respectively. However, lower estimates of age at conception were reported by Novakovic *et al.* (2011) with average value of  $491.19 \pm 9.36$  days in different genotypes of Holstein-Friesian under farm conditions. These differences might be due to location and variable management practices at different farms. Feeding and breeding decisions might also have affected this trait.

The effect of AI centre on age at conception was found to be significant ( $P < 0.05$ ). The value for age at conception was found to be lowest in Pantnagar ( $489.6 \pm 43.0$  days) and highest in Sitarganj centre ( $672.2 \pm 36.6$  days). The reason behind the lower age at conception of heifers in case of Pantnagar centre may be because of good management practices followed by farmers which lead to better health and growth of heifers. Further this value corresponded with simultaneous lower age at puberty in case of Pantnagar centre. Timely insemination of heifers by the AI workers

may be the other reason for better conception. The effect of YOB was found to be non-significant. However, Rafique *et al.* (2000) reported significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect of YOB on ACON.

**Table 3:** Least-squares means for age at first conception (ACON) in Frieswal heifers

Effects	Particulars	Code	Obs.	ACON (days)
AI Centre	Pantnagar	1	17	$489.6 \pm 43.0^a$
	Gadarpur	2	29	$541.6 \pm 30.3^b$
	Bazpur	3	12	$669.1 \pm 38.3^c$
	Kicha	4	8	$678.3 \pm 41.1^c$
	Sitarganj	5	11	$672.2 \pm 36.6^c$
Education	Illiterate	1	20	$576.0 \pm 36.0$
	Read and write	2	22	$636.4 \pm 33.0$
	Matriculate	3	16	$612.2 \pm 33.5$
	>Matriculate	4	19	$616.2 \pm 27.8$
Herd size	Up to 3 AUE	1	40	$606.2 \pm 26.9$
	3-6 AUE	2	24	$615.5 \pm 26.4$
	6-10 AUE	3	10	$549.1 \pm 38.2$
	>10 AUE	4	3	$669.8 \pm 62.1$
Land holding	Landless	1	27	$666.4 \pm 34.2$
	Small (up to 5 acres)	2	29	$616.3 \pm 28.7$
	Medium (5-10 acres)	3	10	$562.4 \pm 44.4$
	Large (>10 acres)	4	11	$595.6 \pm 37.6$
Year of birth		2010	35	$639.3 \pm 34.1$
		2011	42	$581.0 \pm 25.1$
Season of birth	Spring	1	19	$633.6 \pm 30.3$
	Summer	2	7	$628.1 \pm 44.1$
	Rainy	3	5	$517.8 \pm 61.2$
	Winter	4	46	$661.3 \pm 22.0$
Overall			77	$610.2 \pm 25.2$
CV (%)				14.92

CV: Coefficient of variance.

Least-squares means followed by same or no upper case letters as superscripts do not differ significantly (a, b;  $P < 0.05$ ), (A, B, C;  $P < 0.01$ )

#### Age at first calving (AFC)

The average age at first calving for 15 Frieswal heifers was  $807.0 \pm 28.1$  days which are in close agreement with Zaman *et al.* (1983) in Friesian  $\times$  non-descript cows under field conditions. Kuralkar *et al.* (1996) in Sahiwal  $\times$  Jersey, Gaur (2001) in Holstein-Friesian  $\times$

Sahiwal, Mukherji (2005) in HF × Sahiwal, Madhuri *et al.* (2009) in three breed crosses and Singh *et al.* (2011) in Vrindavani reported higher estimates of AFC values under farm conditions. Age at first calving ranged from 711 to 1130 days in present study. Significant effect of season of calving on age at first calving was found by Sahin *et al.* (2012).

A reduction in age at first calving can be achieved through better feeding, management, disease control and efficient heat detection and timely service programme (Javed *et al.*, 2000).

### CONCLUSION

Overall least-squares means for AP (131 heifers), AFAl (81 heifers), ACON (77 heifers) were  $485.2 \pm 21.8$  days,  $608.3 \pm 27.1$  days,  $610.2 \pm 25.2$  days. The highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect of AI centre and YOB and significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect of education level, herd size and season of birth on AP was observed during the study. However, effect of land holding on AP was found to be non-significant. The effect of AI centre was found highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for AFAl. The significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect of year of birth (YOB) was also found for AFAl. However, effect of education level, herd size and land holding of farmers and season of birth was found non-significant on AFAl. Significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect of AI centre was found on ACON. The effect of education level, herd size, land holding, YOB and SOB were observed to be non-significant on ACON. The average age at first calving for 15 Frieswal heifers was  $807.0 \pm 28.1$  days.

### REFERENCES

- Aral, F. 2005. The reproductive performance in Brown Swiss heifers in Konya. *Indian Vet. J.* **82**:394-397.
- Bakir, G. and Cilek, S. 2009. A research on reproductive traits of Holstein cattle reared at Tahirova State Farm in Balikesir Province in Turkey. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, **8(11)**: 2383-2387.
- Dinka, H. 2012. Reproductive performance of crossbred dairy cows under smallholder condition in Ethiopia. *Int. J. Livest. Prod.*, **3(3)**: 25-28.
- Fricke, P.M. 2004. Strategies for optimizing reproductive management of dairy heifers. *Adv. Dairy Tech.*, **16**: 1-15.
- Gaur, G.K. 2001. Environmental factors affecting various performance traits of Frieswal cattle. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.*, **54(4)**: 209-213.
- GOI, 2011. Animal Husbandry Statistics 2011. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Social Statistics Division, New Delhi.
- Hammoud, M. H., El-Zarkouny, S. Z. and Oudah, E. Z. M. 2010. Effect of sire, age at first calving, season and year of calving and parity on reproductive performance of Friesian cows under semi-arid conditions in Egypt. *Archiva Zootechnica*, **13(1)**: 60-82.
- Harvey, W.R. 1987. PC version (PC-1) LSMLW with PAMCARD United States Deptt. Of Agric. (USDA), Agriculture Research Centre (ARS), Beltsville, Maryland, USA.
- Hawk, H.W., Tyler, W.J. and Casida, L.E. 1954. Some factors affecting age at puberty in Holstein-Friesian heifers. *J. Dairy Sci.*, **37(3)**: 252-258.
- Islam, S., Goswami, A. and Mazumdar, D. 2008. Comparative Profitability of Cross Breed and Indigenous Cattle in West Bengal. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, **8(1)**: 28-30.
- Javed, K., Mohiuddin, G. and Abdullah, M. 2000. Environmental factors affecting various productive traits in Sahiwal cattle. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **20(4)**: 187-192.
- Khan, M., Ahmad, Z. and Khan, M. S. 1992. Analysis and interpretation of breeding records of a Sahiwal herd for reproductive performance. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, **29(2)**: 92-95.
- Kuralkar, S.V., Shah, S.J.H., Shah, S.K. and Ahmad, N. 1996. Comparative productive performance of pure and crossbred Sahiwal cows under subtropical environmental conditions. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **2(4)**: 156-158.
- Lucy, M.C. 2001. Reproductive loss in high-producing dairy cattle: Where will it end?. *J. Dairy Sci.*, **84**: 1277-1293.
- Madhuri, B.S., C.L., Suman and Pandey, H.S. 2009. Reproduction and performance of three-breeds crosses in cattle. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, **43(1)**: 32-36.
- Miazi, O.F., Hossain, M.E. and Hassan, M.M. 2007. Productive and reproductive performance of crossbred and indigenous dairy cows under rural conditions in Comilla, Bangladesh. *Univ. J. Zool. Rajshahi Univ.*, **26**: 67-70.
- Moges, N. 2012. Study on reproductive performances of crossbred dairy cows under small holder conditions in an around Gondar, North Western Ethiopia. *J. Reprod. Infertility*, **3(3)**:38-41.
- Mukherji, S. 2005. Genetic evaluation of Frieswal cattle. Ph.D. Thesis. NDRI. Deemed University. Karnal. India.
- Nahar, T.N., Islam, M. and Hasnath, M.A. 1992. A comparative study on the performances of F<sub>1</sub> crossbred cows under rural condition. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.*, **5(3)**: 435-438.
- Novakovic, Z., Sretenovic, L.J., Aleksic, S. Petrovic, M.M., Pantelic, V. and Ostojic-Andric, D. 2011. Age at first conception of high yielding cows. *Biotechnol. Anim. Husb.*, **27(3)**: 1043-1050.

- Perry, G.A. and Cushman, R. 2013. Effect of age at puberty/conception on cow longevity. *Vet. Clin. North. Am. Food Anim. Pract.*, **29(3)**: 579-90.
- Qureshi, M.S., Khan, A., Mirbahar, K.B. and Samo, M.U. 2000. Productive and reproductive performance and their interaction in crossbred cattle under field conditions in district Bannu. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **20(1)**: 31-34.
- Rafique, M., Chohan, K.R. and Chaudhry, Q.Z. 2000. Factors affecting age at maturity and age at first conception in Holstein-Friesian × Sahiwal crossbreds. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **20(1)**: 40-42.
- Sahin, A., Z. Ulutas, A.Y. Adkinson and R.W. Adkinson, 2012. Genetic and environmental parameters and trends for milk production of Holstein cattle in Turkey. *Italian J. Anim. Sci.*, **11(44)**: 243-248.
- Sandhu, Z.S., Tariq, M.M., Baloch, M.H. and Qaimakhani, M.A. 2011. Performance analysis of Holstein-Friesian cattle in intensive management at dairy farm Quetta, Balochistan, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.*, **9(2)**: 128-133.
- Sarder, M J.U. 2006. A comparative study on reproductive performance of crossbred dairy cows at Greater Rajshahi district. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, **5(8)**: 679-685.
- Sattar, A., Mirza, R.H., Niazi, A.A.K., and Latif, M. 2005. Productive and reproductive performance of Holstein-Friesian cows in Pakistan. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **25(2)**:75-81.
- Schillo, K.K., Hall, J.B. and Hileman, S.M. 1992. Effect of nutrition and season on the onset of puberty in the beef heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **70(12)**: 3994-4005.
- Singh R.R., Dutt, T., Kumar, A., Tomar, A.K.S. and Singh, M. 2011. On-farm characterization of Vrindavani cattle in India. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, **81(3)**: 267-271.
- Singh, G., Gaur, G.K., Nivsarkar, A.E., Patil, G.R. and Mitkari, K.R. 2002. Deoni cattle breed of India. A study on population dynamics and morphometric characteristics. *Anim. Genet. Res. Info.*, **32**: 35-43.
- Sultana, N., Rashid, M.M., and Hossain, S.M.J. 2001. A comparative study on productive and reproductive performance of different crossbred and indigenous dairy cows under small scale dairy farm conditions. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, **4(8)**: 1036-1037.
- Uddin, M.K., Wadud, A., Begum, D., Siddiki, M.S.R., and Rashid, M.H. 2008. Productive and reproductive performance of indigenous and crossbred cattle in Comilla district. *Bangla J. Anim. Sci.*, **37(1)**: 39-43.
- Zaman, Mohammad, Haider, I., Farooq, M.A. and Shah, Karam. S. 1983. Production performance and adaptability of crossbred cows. *Pak. J. Agric. Res.*, **4(3)**: 180-189.